

Teaching Evaluation Summary - GSI

Fall 2012
Department of Economics
University of California, Berkeley

Course: 100B
Instructor: Carloni, D
Section(s): 111, 112
Total Responses: 29

Course Statistics

Corresponding Questions	Section		Department	
	Mean	Median	Avg. Mean	Avg. Median
3. Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was: (1=much too slow, 4=about right, 7=much too fast)	4.50	4.00	4.13	3.96
4. Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was: (1=poorly chosen, 4=satisfactory, 7=excellent)	5.89	6.00	4.90	4.91
5. From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be: (1=unfair, 4=satisfactory, 7=very conscientious)	5.43	6.00	4.93	5.01
6. All things considered, my Graduate Student Instructor for this course was: (1=poor, 4=average, 7=excellent)	5.86	6.00	5.21	5.36

Distribution of Responses to Question 6 - Teaching Ability

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Frequency	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.07	0.38	0.38

Note: the Department does not keep a record of your questionnaires and we may not be able to provide duplicate summary sheets for your placement mail-outs. You will want to keep a record of both as they are frequently useful when you go on the job market.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Carloni

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (HOA) (2) ☒ Undeclared (Econ Applied)

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☒ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

<u>Poor</u>				<u>Average</u>			<u>Excellent</u>
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

Made handouts that were helpful
but there was not enough section participation

...OVER

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 12

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Caltoni

1) Major:

(1) ☐ Declared (2) ☒ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☒ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

<u>Poor</u>				<u>Average</u>				<u>Excellent</u>
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

The GSI was hardworking and accessible, was nice & knowledgeable.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Brian Carloni

1) Major:

(1) ☐ Declared (2) ☒ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average			Excellent		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

He was very hard to understand at times because of his accent. More importantly though, he messed up a fair amount in section and I couldn't tell whether it was due to language problems or ~~the~~ struggles with the economic concepts we were studying. He could be confusing at times and then, when he tried to clarify, he would use very difficult concepts to justify what he was saying.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: FALL Year: 2012

Course No. 100 B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: DORIAN CARLONI

1) Major: ECONOMICS

(1) ☒ Declared (2) ☐ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
- (2) ☐ Haas School of Business
- (3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
- (4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
- (5) ☐ Humanities
- (6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
- (7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
- (8) ☐ Other
- (9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor					Average					Excellent
1	2	3	4	5	6	7				

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: FALL Year: 2012

Course No. ECON 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: DORIAN CARLONI

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (2) ☐ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average					Excellent
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorinda Carloni!!! Semester: Fall Year: 2012

1) Major:

(1) X Declared (2) _____ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) X Economics
(2) _____ Haas School of Business
(3) _____ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) _____ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) _____ Humanities
(6) _____ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) _____ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) _____ Other
(9) _____ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) X Yes (2) _____ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow _____ About Right _____ Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen _____ Satisfactory _____ Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair _____ Satisfactory _____ Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor						Average						Excellent
1	2	3	4	5	6	7						

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

- extremely prepared
- he knows his stuff and very approachable. A great guy!

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Carloni

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (2) ☐ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average				Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Carloni

1) Major:

(1) ☐ Declared (2) ☒ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☒ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

<u>Poor</u>				<u>Average</u>					<u>Excellent</u>	
1		2		3		4		5	6	7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Carlson

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (2) ☐ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

<u>Poor</u>				<u>Average</u>				<u>Excellent</u>
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

enjoyed the time allocation during section (spending section going over analytical questions); GSI came very prepared every section with handouts summarizing key concepts + extensive practice problems.

only suggestion is to speak up a little bit ^{ym} → sound bored / like you don't want to be there sometimes

...OVER

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: FALL Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: DORIAN CUELLI

1) Major:

(1) X Declared (2) _____ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) X Economics
(2) _____ Haas School of Business
(3) _____ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) _____ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) _____ Humanities
(6) _____ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) _____ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) _____ Other
(9) _____ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) X Yes (2) _____ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow _____ About Right _____ Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen _____ Satisfactory _____ Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair _____ Satisfactory _____ Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

<u>Poor</u>				<u>Average</u>				<u>Excellent</u>
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

Had Really good section notes
Gave tons of examples

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Course No. Econ 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Carlsoni Semester: Fall Year: 12

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (2) ☐ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☐ Economics
- (2) ☐ Haas School of Business
- (3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
- (4) ☒ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
- (5) ☐ Humanities
- (6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
- (7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
- (8) ☐ Other
- (9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow _____ About Right _____ Much Too Fast _____
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen _____ Satisfactory _____ Excellent _____
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair _____ Satisfactory _____ Very Conscientious _____
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor					Average			Excellent
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Carloni

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (2) ☐ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☒ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7


5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:



7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

- Handouts were helpful
- would have been nice to cover the material a bit more before jumping into MC and short answer questions, but going over the questions was helpful
- hard to understand what he was saying sometimes

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Carloni

1) Major:

(1) X Declared (2) _____ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) _____ Economics
- (2) _____ Haas School of Business
- (3) _____ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
- (4) X Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
- (5) _____ Humanities
- (6) _____ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
- (7) _____ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
- (8) _____ Other
- (9) _____ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) X Yes (2) _____ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow _____ About Right _____ Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen _____ Satisfactory _____ Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair _____ Satisfactory _____ Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

<u>Poor</u>				<u>Average</u>				<u>Excellent</u>
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

very helpful GSI. Put a good amount of effort into teaching the course.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 12

Course No. _____ Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian. Caloni

1) Major:

(1) X Declared (2) _____ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) X Economics
- (2) _____ Haas School of Business
- (3) _____ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
- (4) _____ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
- (5) _____ Humanities
- (6) _____ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
- (7) _____ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
- (8) _____ Other
- (9) _____ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) X Yes (2) _____ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow _____ About Right _____ Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:


Poorly Chosen _____ Satisfactory _____ Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair _____ Satisfactory _____ Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:



7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

Please Speak clearly and loudly ~~at~~ during Section.

Please draw the graph using diff color ~~at~~ pens.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorcan Carloni Semester: Fall Year: 2012

1) Major:

(1) ☐ Declared (2) ☒ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:


Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:



7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Carlonis

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (2) ☐ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☐ Economics
- (2) ☐ Haas School of Business
- (3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
- (4) ☒ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
- (5) ☐ Humanities
- (6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
- (7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
- (8) ☐ Other
- (9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average					Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

He did an okay job, but had trouble communicating the content and often made mistakes.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Doran Carlson

1) Major:

(1) ☐ Declared

(2) ☒ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

(1) ☒ Economics

(2) ☒ Haas School of Business

(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)

(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)

(5) ☐ Humanities

(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)

(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)

(8) ☐ Other

(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes

(2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

<u>Poor</u>				<u>Average</u>				<u>Excellent</u>
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Carloni

1) Major:

(1) ☐ Declared (2) ☒ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent

1 2 3 4 (5) 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 (7)

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average				Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

Great willingness to help, quick to respond to emails, clear and highlighted important points, making sure we were prepared for tricky questions, but class was a bit too fast.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (2) ☐ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average					Excellent
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

He knows the materials very well!
Always be ~~pre~~ prepared to come to ~~class~~ Section
Awesome GSI!

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: _____ Year: _____

Course No. _____ Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (2) _____ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) _____ Haas School of Business
(3) _____ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) _____ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) _____ Humanities
(6) _____ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) _____ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) _____ Other
(9) _____ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) _____ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow _____ About Right _____ Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen _____ Satisfactory _____ Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair _____ Satisfactory _____ Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average				Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

He is well know the knowledge about this course
Excellent GSI!

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian

1) Major:

(1) X Declared (2) _____ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) X Economics
(2) _____ Haas School of Business
(3) _____ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) _____ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) _____ Humanities
(6) _____ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) _____ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) _____ Other
(9) _____ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) X Yes (2) _____ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow _____ About Right _____ Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen _____ Satisfactory _____ Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair _____ Satisfactory _____ Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average					Excellent
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

Section was far more helpful than class. Problem sets may have been graded too harshly. Doesn't make sense to me how I've done poorly on all problem sets ~~but~~ yet extremely well on both midterms.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. Econ 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Donum Carloni

1) Major:

(1) ☐ Declared (2) ☒ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average					Excellent
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

Dorian GSI is really effective and useful for my Econ study. he points out the importance of the material and helps me to understand better.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Course No. _____ Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Carlson Semester: Fall Year: 2012

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (2) ☐ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☒ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow _____ About Right _____ Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen _____ Satisfactory _____ Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair _____ Satisfactory _____ Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average					Excellent
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

I very much appreciated Dorian's section packets
AND the numerous practice examples he presents
in section.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Carloni

1) Major:

(1) ☐ Declared (2) ☒ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average					Excellent
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

Dorian did a great job, his handouts were extremely helpful and his explanations were pretty good. That said I feel like he needs to make section a little less dull and monotonous. He'd ask a question and no one would answer ~~for~~ creating a really long and awkward pause. I don't know how but he just needs to make section a little more engaging and not feel like you just want the hour to end. Overall though he was very helpful and always willing to answer questions.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. Econ100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian Carloni

1) Major:

(1) ☐ Declared (2) ☒ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☐ Economics
- (2) ☐ Haas School of Business
- (3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
- (4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
- (5) ☐ Humanities
- (6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
- (7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
- (8) ☒ Other
- (9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☐ Yes (2) ☒ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

<u>Poor</u>				<u>Average</u>				<u>Excellent</u>				
1		2		3		4		5		6		7

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. Econ 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Porter

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (2) ☐ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☐ Economics
- (2) ☐ Haas School of Business
- (3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
- (4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
- (5) ☐ Humanities
- (6) ☒ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
- (7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
- (8) ☐ Other
- (9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☐ Yes (2) ☒ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow _____ About Right _____ Much Too Fast _____
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen _____ Satisfactory _____ Excellent _____
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair _____ Satisfactory _____ Very Conscientious _____
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

<u>Poor</u>				<u>Average</u>				<u>Excellent</u>
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

...OVER

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 100B Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Dorian carloni

1) Major:

(1) ☐ Declared (2) ☒ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☒ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☐ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average					Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

He didn't seem to know the material very well
and did a bad job answering questions the students
had.

**Graduate Student Instructor (GSI)
TEACHING EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

Semester: Fall Year: 2012

Course No. 1003 Graduate Student Instructor's Name: Donna Carloni

1) Major:

(1) ☒ Declared (2) ☐ Undeclared

Please specify your declared or prospective major:

- (1) ☒ Economics
(2) ☐ Haas School of Business
(3) ☐ College of Natural Resources (e.g. Ag Econ)
(4) ☐ Other Social Sciences (incl. History)
(5) ☒ Humanities
(6) ☐ Natural Sciences (incl. Engineering & Mathematics)
(7) ☐ Other Professional School (City Planning, Public Policy)
(8) ☐ Other
(9) ☐ Undecided

2) Is this course required or strongly suggested for your major or intended major?

(1) ☒ Yes (2) ☐ No

3) Considering my understanding of the material, the pace of the section meetings was:

Much Too Slow About Right Much Too Fast
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

4) Considering how the professor structured the course, the material presented in section was:

Poorly Chosen Satisfactory Excellent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

5) From my perspective, the graduate student instructor's grading appears to be:

Unfair Satisfactory Very Conscientious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

...OVER

6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average				Excellent	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7


7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

Dorian is a fantastic GSI - knowledgeable, helpful, kind and an effective teacher. His method of running section is extremely effective, and I feel I learned the bulk of the material in section.

His notes are phenomenal, and the practice questions we do every time really reinforce the material. Dorian is always open to student questions and he makes himself accessible. I actually prefer Dorian's sections to lecture.

I would suggest that in the future, there should be more communication and uniformity in teaching / solutions / methods ~~between~~^{among} the GSIs and the Professor. There were a couple of times where Dorian and Professor Wood would have different answers, ~~that~~ It could help to have more clarification as to the teaching methods and problems.

But overall - highly recommended.



6) All things considered, my GSI for this course was:

Poor	Average				Excellent		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

7) Please use the space below (and on the back if necessary) to summarize your overall impression of the section meetings, the GSI's effectiveness, or any other matters you wish to include.

Dorian was great! & made up for a lot of
protector Wood's tendency to overexplain the obvious
& not explain the difficult parts of the result
great clarity, good ~~understanding~~ response to questions,
the MC questions were of great help, & fair grading
made this class that much more bearable

⊖ waited on students to respond, when it's been too
long of a quiet period; ~~it would have just per~~ would call
on students more

Overall, great.